Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 11 (1978) 119–142 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in the Netherlands Received: September 6, 1977

RAMAN STUDY OF THE 02F2 + VF5 REACTION: ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF AN UNSTABLE REACTION INTERMEDIATE

J. E. Griffiths, A. J. Edwards, ^{*} W. A. Sunder and W. E. Falconer Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

SUMMARY

The reaction of 0_2F_2 with polymeric VF₅ in the temperature range -119 to -78°C leads first to the reaction intermediates $F-0\cdots 0 \stackrel{\delta+}{-} \cdot F^{\delta-} \cdot V_2F_{10}$ or $0_2F^+ + F^- + V_2F_{10}$. On increasing the temperature of this intermediate, a new compound $0_2^+V_2F_{11}^-$ is formed which in turn decomposes rapidly at room temperature. Raman studies of these species as well as of $0_2F_2(\text{solid})$, VF_5 , KVF_6 , KV_2F_{11} , $CsVF_6$ and CsV_2F_{11} are reported and discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Compounds containing the dioxygenyl cation 0_2^+ have been synthesized thermally, [1-7] photolytically [8-12] and by discharge techniques. [3,13-17] The earliest involved the room temperature oxidation of molecular oxygen with platinum hexafluoride [1,2] to form 0_2 PtF₆. Syntheses directly from the elements, [4-7] using mixtures of fluorine and oxygen and a fluorine containing species MF_n [1-3,8-12] or using reactive

^{*}Permanent Address: Department of Chemistry, Birmingham Univ., Birmingham, United Kingdom.

species such as 0_2F_2 , 0_4F_2 , $0F_2$ and $(0_2F)_n$ [13-17] have all proved to be useful. The products are normally formulated as $0_2MF_n(n=4,5,6)$, $0_2M_2F_{11}$ or $0_2M_3F_{16}$. Examples include 0_2BF_4 , [14,15,17] 0_2GeF_5 , [11] 0_2AsF_6 , [3,4,8,9,13,18] 0_2PtF_6 , [1,2,4,18,19] 0_2AuF_6 , [6,7,18] $0_2Sb_2F_{11}$, [4,10,12,18] and $0_2Sb_3F_{16}$. [20] All of these dioxygenyl salts are intrinsically unstable thermally with room temperature lifetimes varying from a few minutes to several weeks. [13-17,21] Examples of possible expected dioxygenyl salts that have not been made include 0_2IrF_6 and 0_20sF_6 .[22] Compounds such as 0_2PF_6 [13] 0_2CrF_6 and $0_2V_2F_{11}$ [23] are very unstable at room temperature and may need to be studied at reduced temperature. They have been identified through analysis of their decomposition products or through spectroscopic observations.

Dioxygenyl salts containing vanadium were particularly intriguing. Using a conventional synthesis from the elements, [4] a thermally unstable oxygen containing product of unknown composition could be prepared, isolated and manipulated in a dry box. Unfortunately the sample suffered rapid decomposition near 20°C. The manipulation time required was always a little too long and the decomposition a little too rapid to allow us to do definitive experiments with the white solid product. The 0_2^+ ion was readily identified from its characteristic Raman spectrum but a positive identification of the corresponding anion remained elusive. Syntheses at lower temperatures were impractical because the reaction rate was too slow. Therefore, the reaction of the thermally unstable 0_2F_2 species with the strong fluoride acceptor molecule VF_5 at low temperatures seemed to offer an attractive route to O_2VF_6 or $O_2V_2F_{11}$, neither of which had been characterized in the chemical literature.

During the course of this work, the details of which are given in the following sections, $O_2V_2F_{11}$ was prepared and characterized by its Raman spectrum. In addition, a highly reactive and unstable intermediate cation has been identified and formulated as $F-0 = 0^{\delta+}$ where δ approaches unity and may in fact be one. The corresponding anion is not V_2F_{11} . It has been formulated tentatively as $F^{\delta-} V_2F_{10}$ where δ also approaches or is equal to unity.

Starting materials, reactive intermediates, products and related compounds were all examined in detail using Raman spectroscopy, often as a function of temperature. Solid O_2F_2 was reexamined because of uncertainties and ambiguities in earlier work.[24-28] The present study confirms the results and interpretation of Gardiner et al.[24]

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Discharge Apparatus

A Pyrex apparatus of the type shown in Figure 1 was used to generate a mixture of oxygen fluoride. Light yellow 0_2F_2 was prepared in the discharge apparatus cooled to -196°C under relatively harsh conditions (4-5 kV at 20 ma) for about 1 hr. using a 2:1 fluorine to oxygen mixture. These conditions favor the production of 0_2F_2 . [28] After removal of unreacted 0_2 and F_2 at -196°C by pumping to 10^{-5} torr, 0_2F_2 was transferred to reaction tube A or C (Figure 1) by distillation through the apparatus. To minimize decomposition, all surfaces were pre-



Figure 1. Pyrex discharge apparatus for low temperature syntheses. Quartz tube, 22 cm long x 6.3 cm diameter. Copper electrodes are 0.5 cm thick x 1.9 cm diameter and 7.5 cm separation. Electrical feedthroughs are Swagelock with brass bodies, teflon front and nylon rear ferrules. Valves are Monel with Teflon tips except for a Fischer-Porter Teflon and glass stopcock used to attach the apparatus to the vacuum system. cooled by glass-wool insulation impregnated with liquid nitrogen or dry ice. After transfer of 0_2F_2 to A or C, the solid was pale yellow with no trace of red material previously characterized as other oxide fluorides.[28] A sample sealed into C was examined using Raman methods and was found to be 0_2F_2 with only a trace of $(\cdot 0_2F)_n$ present as an impurity (<u>vide infra</u>).

Reaction of 0_2F_2 with VF_5

Vanadium.pentafluoride, contained in monel can B (Figure 1), was sublimed into glass sample tube C. Excess 0_2F_2 , prepared in the discharge tube, was also distilled into C through the precooled apparatus and any 0_2 and F_2 formed during the transfer was pumped away. The reaction was allowed to proceed at -160°C for one hour, at -109°C for 1 hour and finally at -78°C for 40 minutes. Unreacted 0_2F_2 and any 0_2 and F_2 were removed by pumping at -78°C before sealing off the reaction tube. The sample was stored at -196°C until it could be examined using Raman techniques.

Preparations of KVF_6 , $CsVF_6$, KV_2F_{11} and CsV_2F_{11}

Weighed amounts of CsF or KF (~ 0.25 gm) were loaded into openable monel reactors in the dry box and after evacuation the samples were conditioned with fluorine gas at 1 atmosphere pressure for 30 minutes. After removal of fluorine, excess VF₅ was sublimed into the reactor and the contents were allowed to stand for several hours. Removal of excess VF₅ by vacuum pumping at room temperature left involatile white products, which were subsequently identified by weight gain of vanadium pentafluoride (e.g. $VF_5/CsF = 1.97$, calculated for $CsV_2F_{11} = 1.92$ and $CsV_3F_{16} = 2.88$) and by their Raman spectra as CsV_2F_{11} or KV_2F_{11} . To remove one molecular unit of VF_5 from these products to produce $CsVF_6$ or KVF_6 , the samples were heated to 80° while pumping under vacuum for several hours or until no further elimination of volatile material occured. The resulting products, $CsVF_6$ and KVF_6 , showed no Raman bands due to the $V_2F_{11}^-$ anion. All products were white or slightly off-white in color.

Raman Spectra

Products of the $0_2F_2 + VF_5$ reaction were held in pencil or bulb shaped Pyrex sample tubes. Normally the products were formed on the walls of the lower parts of the tubes and could be examined with no further manipulation or contamination from outside sources. The experimental configuration for exciting, cooling and spinning the sample is shown in Figure 2. Temperatures from -155 to 25°C could be maintained to within $\pm 0.5°C$ at any temperature within this range by controlling the flow rate of the precooled nitrogen gas through the apparatus. Temperatures were measured with a Cu-Constantin thermocouple. For measurements at -196°C, the clear dewar was filled with liquid nitrogen.

Stable solids such as the cesium and potassium salts were examined in a previously described rotating cell assembly [18] at room temperature.

Raman spectra were measured with a Jarrel-Ash Model 25-100 double monochromator, a cooled RCA C31034 photomultiplier tube and a SSR photon count rate detector system. Samples were irradiated using Spectra Physics Model 164 Argon and Krypton lasers (5145 and 6471A).



Figure 2. Low temperature Raman scattering apparatus for reactive species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction of 0_2F_2 with polymeric VF₅ is not simple. The thermal instability both of 0_2F_2 and of the reaction product complicates elucidation of the overall process.



Figure 3. Raman spectrum of solid 0_2F_2 . Laser power = 0.8W (6471 A); spectral slit width = 4 cm⁻¹; scan rate = 50 cm⁻¹/min; time constant = 0.1 sec; sensitivity = 10^4 c/s full scale.

Raman spectroscopy was chosen to probe the intricacies of the reaction largely because the starting materials, the products and the reactive intermediates, each of which have properties that are temperature sensitive, could be well characterized using this technique. The ability to examine the various species in situ was a considerable advantage. The Raman

Figure 4. Raman spectra of the products formed in the reaction of 0_2F_2 with VF_5 at various temperatures. Spectra of $VF_5(A)$, $KVF_6(I)$ and $KV_2F_{11}(J)$ are also shown. Experimental conditions are comparable to that for Figure 3. * intermediate species, \bullet plasma lines.



spectra of 0_2F_2 , solid VF_5 , KV_2F_{11} and KVF_6 are shown in Figures 3, 4A, 4I and 4J; some of the numerical data are presented in Tables I-IV. The spectra of the reaction intermediates and products are shown in Figures 4 B-H and are discussed after the spectra of the reference compounds.

Dioxygenyl Difluoride

This molecule belongs to the point group C_2 and the irreducible representation of internal motion is $\Gamma(C_2) = 4A + 2B$ where all fundamentals are infrared and Raman active.

TABLE I

Mode	This work	cm ⁻¹ ref. 24 ^a	ref. 26 ^b	Assignment ^C
1	1300(2)	1305	1306	0-0 stretch
2	606(25) 611(11)	614 618	621	sym. O-F stretch
3	363(83) 371(27)	370	369	sym. 0-0 deformation
4	198(26) 205(12)	195	205	torsion
5	623(9)	630	615	asym. 0-F stretch
6	460(8)	468	457	asym. 0-0-F deformation

Fundamental Frequencies of Solid 0_2F_2 (77°K).

- a. Raman values except for ν_{4} which is an infrared solution value (ref. 24).
- b. Infrared values (ref. 26).
- c. Assignment to a particular well defined motion is made for clarity only. Normal coordinates are linear combinations of the symmetry coordinates. Mixing will be most prevalent between v_2 , v_3 , and v_4 and between v_5 and v_6 .

128

The spectrum, in Figure 3, shows that the A species exhibit a common feature which helps in the vibrational assignment. With the exception of the 0-0 stretching fundamental v_1 , which is too weak to show the effect clearly, the A modes are split; this probably reflects solid state effects. No well defined lattice modes were observed, however. Only a barely detectable trace of $(\cdot 0_2 F)_n$ could be identified $(\Delta v = 588 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Our assignments, given in Table I, agree with those of Gardiner et al.[24] although the absolute values of the Raman shifts for the solid differ slightly from those given in the earlier work. We confirm the $v_2 = 606$ and $v_5 = 623 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ assignments, and observe directly the torsional fundamental v_4 at 198 cm⁻¹. The solution value is 195 cm⁻¹.[24]

The KVF₆, $CsVF_6$, KV_2F_{11} and CsV_2F_{11} Salts

Octahedral anions of point group 0_h generally exhibit simple spectra, $\Gamma(0_h) = A_{1g}(R) + E_g(R) + 2F_{1u}(IR) + F_{2g}(R) + F_{2u}$ (inactive) where R and IR denote Raman and infrared activity respectively. Thus a simple three line Raman spectrum is expected for VF_6^- although the E_g and F_{2g} bands may be split because of reduced site symmetry in the solid phase. The spectrum shown for KVF_6 in Figure 4J and the data in Table II confirm these expectations.

Neither the point group nor the site group of the $V_2F_{11}^-$ anions is known and therefore a credible assignment of the observed bands to fundamental modes of vibration cannot be made. Instead we have divided the observed Raman

TABLE	II

KVF ^b	CsVF6	KV ₂ F ₁₁	CsV ₂ F ₁₁	Assignment ^C
-	_	784(34)	759(40)	v(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	-	-	715(5)	v(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	-	671(100)	667(100)	v(V ₂ F ₁₁)
670(100)	665(100)	-	-	v ₁ (VF ₆)
-	-	558(19)	568(28)	v(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	-	534(3)	544(2)	v(V ₂ F ₁₁)
532(13)	529(20)	-	-	ν ₂ (VF ₆)
342(10)	340(13)	-	-	$v_5(VF_6)$
322(10)	312(7)	-	-	$v_5(VF_6)$
-	-	351(17)	347(12)	δ(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	-	-	323(5)	$\delta(V_2F_{11})$
-	-	315(12)	313(18)	$\delta(V_2F_{11})$
-	-	295(3)	285(3)	δ(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	-	258(16)	261(28)	$\delta(V_2F_{11})$
-	-	216(4)	208(2)br.	$\delta(V_2F_{11})$
-	-	165(3)br.	151 (8)	$\delta(V_2F_{11})$

Vibrational Frequencies of Fluoro Vanadium Anions^a

a. Values in parentheses are peak intensities based on the most intense band being equal to 100. Frequencies are in cm⁻¹.
b. Previous values (ref. 31) are ν₁ = 674, ν₂ = 530, ν₅ = 315 cm⁻¹.
c. ν = stretching vibration; δ = deformation vibration for the V₂F₁₁ species.

bands into two types depending upon their frequency range. Those having values greater than 450 cm⁻¹ were attributed to V-F stretching fundamentals and those having Raman shifts significantly lower were assigned as deformation modes. The

130

data in Table II for KV_2F_{11} and CsV_2F_{11} are quite similar and characterize the anionic species adequately for subsequent use in determining the anion formed in the reaction of 0_2F_2 with VF_5 . There is one noticeable difference in the range of the highest frequency Raman bands for each anion. For the potassium and cesium salts, the difference in frequency is 25 cm^{-1} (Table II). The relative intensities are comparable and we have noticed that the spectra of the $V_2F_{11}^-$ anions are qualitatively similar to the spectra of other related $M_2F_{12}^-$ species.[18]

Reaction of 0_2F_2 with VF_5

Solid VF₅ is a long chain fluorine bridged polymer in which most of the vanadium atoms are surrounded octahedrally by fluorine atoms.[29] This should result in a fairly complex Raman spectrum and the curve in Figure 4A is consistent with this. When 0_2F_2 is allowed to react with VF₅ at -l19°C for about 1 hour before quenching by cooling at -196°C several changes occur. Rather than present the observations first and explain them later, it is expedient to present first a mechanism of the reaction followed by the experimental evidence to support these speculations.

The following sequence is proposed: one fluorine atom in each 0_2F_2 molecule interacts with the VF₅ polymer chain causing chain cleavage thereby producing smaller units of V_2F_{10} according to the first step shown in Figure 5. The reaction intermediate however does not include a V_2F_{11} anion and therefore is formulated as F=0... $0^{\frac{1}{2}+} \cdot F^{\frac{1}{2}-} \cdot V_2F_{10}$ where δ has

Figure 5. The reaction of 0_2F_2 with VF₅ at low temperatures.

a value close to unity. With increasing temperature, the negatively charged fluorine atom from $F-0 \cdots 0^{\frac{1}{2}+} \cdot F^{\frac{1}{2}-} \cdot \cdot$ attaches to the V_2F_{10} unit forming $V_2F_{11}^-$; simultaneously the other fluorine atom is eliminated leaving 0^+_2 as the cation. Simultaneously is used in a generic sense and is not meant to define the time scale precisely.

Alternatively, the interaction could proceed with elimination of the first fluorine atom as a F⁻ ion leaving 0_2F^+ as a well defined cation. A $V_2F_{11}^-$ ion still does not form in this first step, however; only chain cleavage to form V_2F_{10} units must occur. At higher temperatures, expansion of the lattice allows the F⁻ ion to attach itself to the strong fluoride ion acceptor V_2F_{10} forming a $V_2F_{11}^-$ anion. Increasing temperature also favors the process,

$$0_2 F^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+ + \cdot F \tag{1}$$

$$2F \rightarrow F_2$$
 (2)

Thus the overall process involves the formation of the reactive intermediate $F=0\cdots 0 \stackrel{\delta^+}{\cdots} F \stackrel{\delta^-}{\cdots} V_2 F_{10}$ or $0_2 F^+ + F^- + V_2 F_{10}$ which subsequently forms $0_2 V_2 F_{11} + \frac{1}{2} F_2$.

To assess these proposed reaction sequences, consider what changes would occur in the Raman spectra of the 0_2F_2 and $(VF_5)_n$ species if these processes were in fact to proceed. Consider first,

$$0_{2}F_{2} \rightarrow F-0 \cdots 0^{\circ \dagger} \cdot F^{\circ -}$$
(3)

and

$$0_2 F_2 \rightarrow 0_2 F^{\dagger} + F^{-} \tag{4}$$

For process (3), the six line spectrum of 0_2F_2 would reduce to a spectrum of three to six lines depending on the value of δ and on the residual $0^{\delta +} \cdot F^{\delta -} \cdot \cdot$ interaction. For $\delta = 1$, reaction (3) is equivalent to reaction (4) and only three Raman lines are expected for the triatomic 0_2F^+ cation.

Species	Fundamentals		State	Reference ^a	
	vl	2۷	۷3		
NOF	1844	521	766	Gas	32
02F ⁺	1811	460	642	Solid	This work ^a
•0 ₂ F	1520	376	582	Solid	27
NO ⁺	2232			Solid	18,19
NO	1876			Gas	33
0 ⁺ 2	1839 ^b			Solid	This work
⁰ 2	1555			Gas	34
02	1097			Solid	35

TABLE III

Fundamental Vibration Frequencies

a. See discussion in text relating to this species.

b. Values between 1819^5 and 1865 cm^{-1} depending on the anion. 10,12,18

Noticeable frequency shifts should also occur for both reactions and it is possible to estimate the magnitudes of these changes. The frequencies of isoelectronic species such as 0_2^+ and NO are similar. In sequences of the type $0_2^- + 0_2^- + 0_2^+$, the vibrational frequencies increase significantly from 1097 cm⁻¹ to 1839 cm⁻¹ (Table III). Thus, in the sequence $0_2 F + 0_2 F^+ + NOF$, one might reasonably expect that the fundamental frequencies of $0_2 F^+$ would be bracketed by those of $0_2 F$ and NOF which is isoelectronic with $0_2 F^+$. By analogy, one might also expect the v(0-0) and v(N-0) stretching frequencies in $0_2 F^+$ and NOF respectively to be comparable in absolute value. Furthermore, the intensity of the 0-0 stretching fundamental in $0_2 F^+$ would be expected to be much greater than the corresponding vibration in $0_2 F_2$, the starting material. The appropriate frequency data are summarized in Table III. Similar arguments hold for reaction (3) except that one cannot make a prediction about the number of observed Raman bands except to note that as $\delta \neq 1$, the number of bands $n \neq 3$. As the $0 \stackrel{\delta +}{\cdots} \cdot F \stackrel{\delta -}{\cdots}$ bond length increases, however, the torsion mode v_4 will probably be the first to lose its identity as a physically meaningful symmetry coordinate.

The VF₅ polymer spectrum is also expected to change dramatically with the cleavage of the chain into smaller units. The spectra of the VF₆ and V₂F₁₁ ions are available for comparison to see whether these well defined anions are formed initially or not. Also, the presence or absence of VF₆ in the final product provides an important clue as to whether VF₅ was formed initially or not. No VF₆ ions were observed at any stage of the experiment. Since 0_2F_2 was present in large excess, it is unlikely that V_3F_{15} , V_3F_{16} or larger units were formed. In any case, a separate experiment with excess VF₅ showed that some polymeric VF₅ remains unchanged after the reaction.

Evidence supporting our proposed reaction sequence is given in Figure 4. For a reaction temperature of -119°C, a very narrow and intense Raman band at 1811 cm⁻¹ (Figure 4B) is formed $(F-0 \dots 0, \stackrel{+}{\cdot}, F, \overline{\cdot}, \text{ or } 0_2 F^+)$ and simultaneously the 0-0 stretching vibration of the parent at 1300 cm⁻¹ disappears. The intensity of the 1811 cm⁻¹ band decreases and another at 1839 cm⁻¹ (0^+_2) increases as the temperature rises to -90°C (Figure 4D). This inverse temperature-intensity relationship continues (Figure 4F, -17°C) until at 8°C the 1811 cm⁻¹ band has essentially

vanished. The above sequence is irreversible with temperature thereby precluding an equilibrium or phase change interpretation. Concommitant with the high frequency behavior is the observation in Figure 4C, representing the first stage of the reaction, that polymeric VF₅, anionic VF₆ or $V_{2}F_{11}^{-}$ and molecular $0_{2}F_{2}$ are absent (cf. Figs. 4A, 4J, 4I and 3 respectively). Instead, the intensities of a number of Raman bands at 762, 693, 538 and 242 cm⁻¹, tentatively attributed to the V_2F_{10} units, and at 661, 642 and 460 cm^{-1} , tentatively attributed to the temperature in parallel with the band at 1811 $\rm cm^{-1}$. Simultaneously, the intensities of Raman bands clearly identifiable with those found in the spectrum of $KV_{2}F_{11}$ (Figure 4J) all increase just like the band found at 1839 cm^{-1} due to the 0_2^+ ion. It is quite unambiguous that the 0_2^+ and $V_2F_{11}^-$ ions are formed simultaneously from intermediate species. Identification of the anion is facilitated by frequency and relative intensity data given in Table IV. Monomeric VF_5 is not one of the intermediate species because of the absence of any VF_6^- in the final product. Units such as V_2F_{15} are also eliminated using similar reasoning. This leaves as choices of intermediates, $0_{2}F^{+} + F^{-} + V_{2}F_{10}$ or $F^{-}0 \cdots 0^{\circ +} \cdot F^{\circ -} \cdot V_{2}F_{10}$. If δ = 1 there is no difference between the two,

Frequency arguments with respect to the 1811 cm^{-1} band slightly favor a well defined 0_2F^+ cation with $\delta = 1$ but consideration of other bands in the spectra is not quite so convincing. For example, if the bands at 1811, 661, 642 and 460 cm⁻¹ in Figure 4C are attributed to the oxygen fluoride species and the balance to the V_2F_{10} species,

TABLE IV

$FO_2^{\delta^+} \cdots F^{\delta^-} V_2F_{10}$	⁰ 2 ^V 2 ^F 11	KV ₂ F ₁₁	Assignment
-	1839	-	$v(0^{+}_{2})$
1811(100)	-	-	$v(F0^+_2\cdots F^-)$
-	782(53)	784(34)	$v(V_2F_{11})$
762(10)	-	-	$v(F^{\overline{0}} \cdot V_2F_{10})$
693(31)	-	-	$v(F^{\delta - v_2F_{10}})$
-	669(75)	671(100)	$v(V_2F_{11})$
661(19)	-	-	$v(FO_2^{\delta^+} \cdots F^{\delta^-})$
642(22)	-	-	$\nu(F0_2^{\delta^+}\cdots F^{\delta^-})$
-	549(22)	558(19)	$v(V_2F_{11})$
_	-	534(3)	$v(V_2F_{11})$
538(11)	-	-	$v(F^{\delta \overline{\cdot} \cdot V_2F_{10}})$
460(31)	-	-	$v(FO_2^{\delta +} \cdots F^{\delta -} \cdots)$
-	350(18)	351(17)	δ(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	315(17)	315(12)	δ(V ₂ F ₁₁)
-	-	295(3)	$\delta(V_2F_{11})$
-	257(22)	258(16)	δ(V ₂ F ₁₁)
242(36)	-	-	$\delta(\cdots F^{\delta} \cdots V_2 F_{10})$
- .	-	216(4)	δ(V ₂ F <mark>1</mark>)
-	-	165(3)	δ(V ₂ F ₁₁)

Vibrational Frequencies (cm^{-1}) of V-F Compounds

Intensities in parentheses are relative to the strongest band equal to 100.

the cationic moiety must be more complicated than $0_{2}F^{+}$ or $\delta < 1$. From the relative intensities of the various observed bands and taking account of the above assignments, the bands at 661 and 642 $\rm cm^{-1}$ should both be absent in Figure 4G if they are due to the more complicated oxygen fluoride species. If the species were $0_{2}F^{+}$, then only one of them would be due to the $0_{2}F^{+}$ species and one should correlate with the strong band at 693 cm^{-1} (Figs. 4C, E and G). Since both are undetected in Figure 4G, there is some evidence for $\delta < 1$. For a quasi tetra-atomic species, the number of observed bands n should be $3 \le n \le 6$, depending on how strongly bonded the labile fluorine atom is. For a loosely bound fluorine, the 0-0 bond would be very strong and hence v(0-0) would be high, as observed. Also, the torsion mode would be the least well defined symmetry coordinate. Experimentally, only an extremely weak Raman intensity can be detected near 200 cm⁻¹ (Figure 4C) in contrast to the intense torsion mode v_{μ} observed for $0_{p}F_{p}$ in Figure 3. Of the remaining four fundamentals of $0_{p}F_{p}$ between 350 and 650 cm⁻¹, only three are clearly observed in Figure 4C and it is unduly speculative to try to assign these to normal modes of a species that is already a speculation. Much of the foregoing argument really rests upon the tacit assumption that both bands, 661 and 642 $\rm cm^{-1}$, are due to the oxygen fluoride cationic species and this point has already been discussed. A definitive answer to whether δ = 1 or say δ = 0.92 is nebulous at best on the basis of the available evidence. It is clear that better experiments are needed to provide an unambiguous solution. Nevertheless, the important point is that

reactive intermediates have been isolated and characterized. The data also cast some light on earlier work with 0_2F_2 and strong fluoride ion acceptors.

It is worth noting that the processes,

$$0_{2}F_{2} \rightarrow 0_{2}F + F$$

$$F + F \rightarrow F_{2}$$

$$0_{2}F + BF_{3} \rightarrow 0_{2}FBF_{3}$$

$$0_{2}FBF_{3} \rightarrow 0_{2}^{+}BF_{4}^{-}$$

have been proposed [15] as important steps in the reaction to produce $0_2^+BF_4^-$. In the present closely related work no evidence for the well known 0_2^-F free radical was found. Rather, the evidence strongly favors an ionic type intermediate. The 0-0 stretching frequency of 0_2^-F is near 1520 cm⁻¹ [27] and not at 1811 cm⁻¹. In the present work care was taken to minimize the concentration of 0_2^-F .

Although $\cdot O_2F$ seems to be eliminated as an important species in the reaction leading ultimately to the new compound $O_2^+V_2F_{11}^-$, details of the mechanism still need further clarification. For example, is the second fluorine atom in the quasi cation FO_2^+ the one that is eliminated as molecular fluorine or not? Also, will the ionic reactive intermediates of the type described here be important species in a variety of related syntheses? These are important considerations and work in progress may lead to answers to these questions.

REFERENCES

- 1 N. Bartlett and D. H. Lohmann, Proc. Chem. Soc. 115 (1962).
- 2 N. Bartlett and D. H. Lohmann, J. Chem. Soc. 5253 (1962).
- 3 J. B. Beal Jr., C Pupp and W. E. White, Inorg. Chem. <u>8</u>, 828 (1969).
- 4 A. J. Edwards, W. E. Falconer, J. E. Griffiths, W. A. Sunder and M. J. Vasile, J. Chem. Soc. (Dalton) 1129 (1974).
- 5 W. E. Falconer, F. J. DiSalvo, A. J. Edwards, J. E. Griffiths, W. A. Sunder and M. J. Vasile, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem Supplement 59 (1976).
- 6 K. Leary and N. Bartlett, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 903 (1972)
- 7 N. Bartlett and K. Leary, Rev. Chim. Minerale, 13, 82 (1976).
- 8 J. Shamir and J. Binenboym, Inorg. Chim. Acta, <u>2</u>, 37 (1968); Inorg. Synthesis <u>14</u>, 39 (1973).
- 9 J. Shamir, J. Binenboym and H. H. Claassen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>90</u>, 6223 (1968).
- 10 L. Stein, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Supplement, 73 (1976).
- 11 K. O. Christe, R. D. Wilson and I. R. Goldberg, Inorg. Chem. 15, 1271 (1976).
- 12 D. E. McKee and N. Bartlett, Inorg. Chem. 12, 2738 (1973).
- 13 A. R. Young, T. Hirata and S. I. Morrow, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 20 (1964).
- 14 I. J. Solomon, R. I. Brabets, R. K. Uenishi, J. N. Keith and J. M. McDonough, Inorg. Chem. 3, 457 (1964).
- 15 J. N. Keith, I. J. Solomon, I. Sheft and H. H. Hyman, Inorg. Chem. <u>7</u>, 230 (1968).

140

- 16 I. J. Solomon, J. K. Raney, A. J. Kacmarek, R. G. McGuire and G. A. Noble, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>89</u>, 2015 (1967).
- 17. C. T. Goetschel, V. A. Campanile, C. D. Wagner andJ. N. Wilson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>91</u>, 4702 (1969).
- 18 J. E. Griffiths, W. A. Sunder and W. E. Falconer, Spectrochim. Acta, <u>31A</u>, 1207 (1975) and references cited therein.
- 19 J. E. Griffiths and W. A. Sunder, J. Fluorine Chem. <u>6</u>, 533 (1975).
- 20 A. J. Edwards, unpublished X-ray diffraction results.
- 21 W. A. Sunder, A. E. Quinn and J. E. Griffiths, J. Fluorine Che: <u>6</u>, 557 (1975).
- 22 N. Bartlett, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edit. 7, 433 (1968).
- 23 This work
- 24 D. J. Gardiner, N. J. Lawrence and J. J. Turner, J. Chem. Soc.(A), 400 (1971).
- 25 K. R. Loos, C. T. Goetschel and V. A. Campanile, J. Chem. Soc. Comm. 1633 (1968).
- 26 K. R. Loos, C. T. Goetschel and V. A. Campanile, J. Chem. Phys. 52, 4418 (1970).
- 27 R. D. Spratley, J. J. Turner and G. C. Pimentel, J. Chem. Phys. <u>44</u>, 2063 (1966).
- 28 A. Arkell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 4057 (1965).
- 29 A. J. Edwards, G. R. Jones and B. R. Steventon, Chem. Comm. 462 (1967).
- 30 Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry, J. C. Bailar et al. eds., Pergamon Press, Oxford, <u>2</u>, 747 (1973).
- 31 H. Selig and B. Frlec, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. <u>29</u>, 1887 (1967).

- 32 R. Bougon, T. Bui Huy, A. Cadet, P. Charpin and R. Rousson, Inorg. Chem. 13, 690 (1974).
- 33 P. J. H. Woltz, E.A. Jones and A. H. Nielson, J. C. Phys. <u>20</u>, 378 (1952).
- 34 S. Bhagavantam, Phys. Rev. 42, 437 (1932).
- 35 F. Rassetti, Phys. Rev. <u>34</u>, 367 (1929).
- 36 J. A. Creighton and E.R. Lippincott, J. Chem. Phys 1779 (1964).

142